	Facility Name: U-Pick - I+	D-1 1 1 1 1 1
	Facility Ownership: Harry Hanse y	Inspector Dedrie Newson
	Street 7700 E. Winner Rd.	Primary Media: <u>RCRA</u> Inspector Phone, Ext.: 7049
	City: Kansas City State: MO Zip: 69/25	Date: 2/9/12
	Phone: 814-241-7548 Facility Contact:	SIC/NAICS Code #344 13/0
100	0.0	y Subject to OSHA regulations Yes No 🗆
	8A - Dark/7day/wkwint	ven
	Main facility activity, major process chemical(s) & description: Auto saluace use	- J
		9.0
		·
	(Check all that apply): painting/coating (water-based □, solvent-based □), printing □, reacting □	, formulating □, distilling □,
	water treatment $\square$ , refrigeration $\square$ , manufacturing $\square$ , parts washers/degreasing (water-based $\square$ , has	alogenated-based [],
	non-halogenated-based $\Box$ ), combustion (boiler, furnaces, oxidizers) $\Box$ plating (chrome $\Box$ , other_	).
		e mo por
	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE (Note: Forward to EJ if a concern is identified during your inspect	
	1. Is the facility located in an <u>apparent</u> low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g., with many abandoned and dilapidated parent low income area (e.g.,	
	If yes, is facility less then 1000 feet from nearest routinely occupied property (house, school, etc.)?	P No □ (stop) Yes □ Forward to EJ
	THE STATE OF THE STATE OF STATE OF THE STATE	a The Maria
sure	EMERGENCY PLANNING & COMMUNITY RIGHT TO KNOW ACT (EPCRA) & TOXIC SUBSTANC	E CONTROL ACT (TSCA) Unsure
2010	1. Did facility file a Tier II report with fire department, Local & State Emergency Planning Committee?	Yes No Forward to EPCRA
inc o	2. Did facility manufacture, import, or process (formulate, blend, package) >25,000 lbs of a chemical of	or >100 lbs of a Persistent Bloaccumulative
	Toxin (lead, mercury, or polycyclic aromatic compounds) at any time over the last 5 years? No	(stop) Yes D Forward to EPCRA
	3. Has the facility: If any box in question 3 is marked - Forward to EPCRA	
1	a. Stored ≥500 lbs of ammonia □, ≥100 lbs of chlorine □, or ≥10,000 lbs of an industrial chemic	cal □, at any time over the last 2 years? □
	<ul> <li>b. Stored ≥10,000 lbs of pressurized flammable material (propane, methane, butane, pentane, et</li> </ul>	tc.) at any time over the last 2 years?
8	c. Used ≥10,000 lbs of ammonia □, chlorine □, halogenated solvents □, solvent-based paints	s □, or solvents □, or nitrated compound.
	over the last calendar year? □	<u> </u>
. 7	d. Generated ≥ one half pound of metal dusts, fumes, or metal tumings, over the last calendar ye	ear? 🗆
14	4. Does the facility have any oil filled electrical equipment. No D (stop) Yes A Forward to TSCA	and ask Has facility tested oil filled
ess d	equipment to determine PCR content: No. C. Ves 'DI number containing PCRs greater than 50 per	nm /
שסרת	g equipment tested	No Dr Yes D - Get Photo SOM TPhoto
19		
	CLEAN WATER ACT (CWA) - National Poliution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), Indust	rial Pretreatment, Storm Water, & Wetlands
	1. Does the facility discharge any wastewater to storm sewers, surface water, or the land? No	
	If yes, are all wastewater discharges permitted? Yes \( \subsection \text{No } \subsection \) Forward to CWA \( \omega \text{NS OF } \)	
	2. Does the facility have process wastewaters that are discharged to a city POTW (Publicly Owned T	
•	·	orward to CWA
	If yes, does the city have a state or EPA approved pretreatment program? Yes   No or Do	n't Know 🗆 Forward to CWA
	3. During rainfall events, can storm water carry pollutants from manufacturing, processing, storage, di	isposal, shipping and receiving areas, or from
	construction sites >1 acre, to storm sewers or surface water? No □ (stop) Yes ॼ	
	If yes, does the facility have an NPDES permit for these storm water discharges? Yes D No	□ Forward to CWA Ungure
	Did you see any wastewater discharges not identified by the facility? No □ (stop) Yes □ - Id	dentify location, time, appearance of discharge:
	se report	(Get Photo) Forward to CWA
•	Does the facility have any wetland areas (e.g. streams, ponds, or temporarily wet areas)? No 🗹	(stop) Yes □
	If yes, have any wetland areas been dredged, filled, channelized, dammed, or had gravel remove	
	No □ (stop) Yes □ - Identify location and timeframe	
	110 E (Stop), 100 E (Montal) Industrial Million Million	(Get Photo) FWD to Wetlands

SAFE DRINKING WATER ACT (SDWA) - Underground Injection Control (UIC) & Public Water System (PWS)  1. Does facility discharge any liquids to the subsurface (septic systems, disposal wells, cesspools, etc.)? No IQ (stop) Yes I Forward to UIC
we will write an artist of periton, workey afor ORIV? Yes I NO LI
1) yes, do these adult waste stricted or more from its own source (private well, pond, etc)? No E (stop) Yes E Forward to PWS
If yes, does the facility test or monitor its drinking water in order to comply with state regulations? Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\)
CLEAN AIR ACT (CAA) and CFCs
1. Do you see any dense, non-steam, smoke or dust emissions leaving the facility property? No to Yes I Forward to CAA
(Get Photo)
Source
If yes, is equipment permitted? Yes \( \square\) No \( \square\) Forward to CAA Describe:
if yes, is equipment permitted. Tes to the test of the
3. Does the facility have any cooling units that contain >50 lbs of refrigerant? No 🛱 (stop) Yes 🗆 Forward to CFC
to the continued on the continued of the contract Serviced?
4. Does the facility have a refrigeration process that contains more than 10,000 lbs of ammonia? No 🗵 (stop) Yes 🗆 Forward to EPCRA/RMP
5. Does the facility service motor vehicle air conditioning systems? No [ (stop) Yes Forward to CFG COrgin From Car)
5. Does the facility service motor vehicle air conditioning systems? No D (stop) Yes D Forward to CFG (Drain From car)
RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT (RCRA) and UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS (UST)
1. Does the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per month or at any one time? No 🗆 (stop) Yes
1. Does the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility of the facility generate more than 30-gallons (220 lbs./100kg) of hazardous waste per tribular to the facility of t
If yes, does facility have an EPA Hazardous waste identification redirections of the surface impoundments II as what piles II 2
2. Is hazardous waste treated □ , stored >90-days □, burned □ , land filled □ , put in surface impoundments □ or waste piles □ ?
2. Is hazardous waste treated \( \sigma\), stored >90-days \( \sigma\), burned \( \sigma\), land filled \( \sigma\), put in surface impoundments \( \sigma\) of waste piles \( \sigma\).  No \( \sigma\) (stop) Yes \( \sigma\) If yes, is the facility permitted for above described activity? Yes \( \sigma\) No \( \sigma\) Forward to RCRA \( \sigma\).  No \( \sigma\) (stop) Yes \( \sigma\) If yes, is the facility permitted for above described activity? Yes \( \sigma\) No \( \sigma\) Forward to RCRA \( \sigma\).
3. Did you see or does the facility have any raigo quantitate trash, cardboard, & packaging type wastes)? No □ (stop) Yes □ per per location of the facility know these wastes are non-hazardous?
teaming measury or measure was the
todang, mesasi y or mesasi
Testing, industry or manuf. info, MSDS, etc. □; None available □ Forward to RCRA
Testing, industry or manuf. info, MSDS, etc. □; None available □ Forward to RCRA
Testing, industry or manuf. info, MSDS, etc. □; None available □ Forward to RCRA
4. Did you see any leaking hazardous waste containers, drums, or tanks? No  Yes  Forward to RCRA
Describe: All al ACT
5. Did you see any signs of spills or releases (e.g., dead or stressed vegetation, stains, discoloration)? No D Yes Proward to RCRA
GETPHOLD GETPHOLD
6. Did you see any chemical or waste handling practices that concern you (access to children/public)? No  Yes  Forward to RCRA &
Get Photo)
7. Does the facility have any past or present underground petroleum product or hazardous material tanks? No \(\sigma\) Yes \(\sigma\) Forward to UST
8. Does the facility have any underground fuel tanks for emergency generators? No \(\sigma\) Yes \(\sigma\) Forward to UST
8. Does the facility have any underground too came to
SPILL PREVENTION CONTROL AND COUNTERMEASURE PLAN (SPCC)
The state of the same of the s
No Li Porward to SPCC Plan? Yes Li No Li Porward to SPCC
Was accorded containment systems for the tanks? Yes/N NO LI FORWARD to SPOU
If yes, are there secondary contaminent systems for the state or U.S.? No X Yes \( \text{\text{Get Photo}} \) Forward to SPCC  If yes, are any tanks leaking where oil could reach waters of the State or U.S.? No X
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS (EMS)
1. Does your facility have an EMS? No D Yes 🕾
2. Is the facility's EMS ISO 14001 certified? No ts ∨ Yes □
C. le nie isami

\* PLEASE TAKE PHOTOS TO DOCUMENT POTENTIAL PROBLEMS

Version 08.23.05a GRAY SHADED AREAS INDICATE ITS